UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,356	06/15/2005	Yu Zhou	NL 031452	7359
	7590 04/15/200 LLECTUAL PROPER		NL 031452 EXAMINER PATANKAR, ANEETA V ART UNIT PAPE 4134 MAIL DATE DELIV	INER
P.O. BOX 3001	X 3001		PATANKAR, ANEETA V	
BRIARCLIFF I	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
			4134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,356	ZHOU, YU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANEETA PATANKAR	4134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TH Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2005 is/are:	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	ed to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR ²	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	nge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 4134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,046,967 to *Takagi et al.* in view of U.S. Patent Pub. 2001/0021148 A1 to *Yokoyama et al.* in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,847,895 to *Romano et al.*

As to **claim 1**, *Takagi* discloses the disc drive apparatus comprising: scanning means for scanning a record track of an optical disc and for generating a read signal (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56); actuator means for controlling the positioning of at least one read/write element of said scanning means with respect to the disc (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56); a control circuit for receiving said read signal and generating at least one actuator control signal on the basis of at least one signal component of said read signal (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56); wherein the control circuit comprises: means for calculating at least one error signal on the basis of the said read signal (Fig. 2, columns 3-4, lines 57-2); error signal processing means for receiving said at least one error signal and for outputting derived signals (Fig. 2, columns 3-4, lines 57-2); shock detector means (11) for generating a shock indication signal (Fig. 3, column 4, lines 3-19);

the actuator control signal generator means being coupled to receive the shock indication signal from the shock detector means (Fig. 3, column 4, lines 3-19).

Takagi is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus comprising: actuator control signal generator means having at least one variable control parameter, for receiving one of said derived signals from said error signal processing means and for processing this derived signal for generating an actuator signal; and the actuator control signal generator means being designed to set a first value for said variable control parameter during normal operation, and to set a second value for said variable control parameter when said shock indication signal indicates the occurrence of a shock; wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to perform sliding mode control.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus comprising: actuator control signal generator means having at least one variable control parameter, for receiving one of said derived signals from said error signal processing means and for processing this derived signal for generating an actuator signal (Fig. 9A-B, paragraphs 110-111); and the actuator control signal generator means being designed to set a first value for said variable control parameter during normal operation, and to set a second value for said variable control parameter when said shock indication signal indicates the occurrence of a shock (Fig. 13-14B, paragraph 22).

Takagi and Yokayama are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create an optical disc apparatus that comprises a scanning means for scanning a record track on the optical disc and an actuator control signal generator means having at least one variable control parameter. The suggestion/motivation would have been for defect detection as taught by *Takagi* in view of *Yokayama* (Fig. 14A-B, paragraph 22).

Page 4

Takagi and Yokayama are deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to perform sliding mode control.

However, *Ramano* discloses the disc drive apparatus wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to perform sliding mode control (Fig. 6, columns 8-9, lines 66-20).

Takagi, Yokoyama, and Ramano are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

At the time on invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create an optical disc apparatus that comprises a scanning means for scanning a record track on the optical disc and have an actuator control signal generator means designed to perform sliding mode control. The suggestion/motivation would have been in order to generate an error signal as taught by *Ramano* (Fig. 6, columns 8-9, paragraph 22).

As to **claim 4**, *Takagi* is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said control circuit further comprises: disturbance estimator means for

receiving said actuator signal from said actuator control signal generator means; the disturbance estimator means being designed to generate an estimated disturbance signal on the basis of said actuator signal and said third derived signal; wherein said actuator control signal generator means is coupled to receive said estimated disturbance signal from the disturbance estimator means actuator control signal generator means being designed to calculate its output signal on the basis of said estimated disturbance signal; and the disc drive apparatus for receiving a third derived signal from said error signal processing means.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said control circuit further comprises: disturbance estimator means for receiving said actuator signal from said actuator control signal generator means (Fig. 16A-B, paragraphs 31-32); the disturbance estimator means being designed to generate an estimated disturbance signal on the basis of said actuator signal and said third derived signal (Fig. 16A-B, paragraphs 31-32); wherein said actuator control signal generator means is coupled to receive said estimated disturbance signal from the disturbance estimator means (Fig. 16A-B, paragraphs 31-32), said actuator control signal generator means being designed to calculate its output signal on the basis of said estimated disturbance signal (Fig. 9A-B, paragraphs 110-111).

Yokoyama is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus for receiving a third derived signal from said error signal processing means.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,356

Art Unit: 4134

However, *Ramano* discloses the disc drive apparatus for receiving a third derived signal (or3) from said error signal processing means (Fig. 3E, columns 7-8, lines 31-21). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 1.

Page 6

As to **claim 13**, *Takagi* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator signal generated by said actuator control signal generator means is a digital actuator signal (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56), and wherein said control circuit further comprises: D/A signal processing means for receiving said digital actuator signal from said actuator control signal generator means and for generating an analogue actuator signal (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56); preferably, noise filter means for receiving said analogue actuator signal from said D/A signal processing means and for generating a filtered actuator signal (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56); actuator driver means for receiving said analogue actuator signal from said D/A signal processing means or receiving said filtered actuator signal, and for generating an actuator drive signal (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 20-56). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 1.

3. Claims 2, 3, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,046,967 to *Takagi et al.* in view of U.S. Patent Pub. 2001/0021148 A1 to *Yokoyama et al.* in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,847,895 to *Romano et al.* in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,465,981 B2 to *Zhang et al.*

As to **claim 2**, *Takagi* is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its

output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains; wherein S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "gv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=O defines a stable sliding surface; wherein sat(gres'x(k)+gv'v(k)/ Φ) defines a saturation function; and wherein ε is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means; and wherein x(k) and v(k) are signals representing values for the current actuator position and speed; wherein x(k) and v(k) are signals representing values for the current actuator position and speed; wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains; wherein S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "qv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=O defines a stable sliding surface; wherein sat(gres'x(k)+gv'v(k)/ Φ) defines a saturation function; and wherein ε is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus wherein x(k) and v(k) are signals representing values for the current actuator position and speed (Fig. 17A-C, paragraphs 33-36).

Takagi and Yokayama are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create an optical disc apparatus that comprises a scanning means for scanning a record track on the optical disc and an actuator control signal generator means having at least one variable control parameter. The suggestion/motivation would have been for defect detection as taught by *Takagi* in view of *Yokayama* (Fig. 14A-B, paragraph 22).

Takagi as modified are deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains; wherein S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "gv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=0 defines a stable sliding surface; wherein sat(gres'x(k)+gv'v(k)/ Φ) defines a saturation function; and wherein ϵ is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means.

However, *Ramano* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains (Fig. 5, column 8, lines 21-34); wherein S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-

invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "gv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=O defines a stable sliding surface (Fig. 3C, column 6, lines 40-53).

Takagi, Yokoyama, and Ramano are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

At the time on invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create an optical disc apparatus that comprises a scanning means for scanning a record track on the optical disc and have an actuator control signal generator means designed to perform sliding mode control. The suggestion/motivation would have been in order to generate an error signal as taught by *Ramano* (Fig. 6, columns 8-9, paragraph 22).

Takagi as modified are deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus wherein sat(gres'x(k)+gv'v(k)/ Φ) defines a saturation function; and wherein ϵ is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means.

However, Zhang discloses the disc drive apparatus wherein sat(gres'x(k)+gv'v(k)/ Φ) defines a saturation function (Fig. 3, column 4, lines 26-39); and wherein ϵ is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means (Fig. 3, 318, column 3, lines 52-61).

Takagi, Ramano, and Zhang and Yokoyama are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

Art Unit: 4134

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create a disc drive apparatus comprising: a scanning means for scanning an optical disc and an equation that describes the actuator dynamic characteristics. The suggestion/motivation would have been in order to make a VCM loop for stabilizing the closed-loop system as taught by *Takagi* in view of *Zhang* (Columns 3-4, lines 57-11).

As to **claim 3**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said error signal processing means is designed to calculate estimated values x(k) and v(k) for the current actuator position and speed; wherein the actuator control signal generator means is coupled to receive said estimated current actuator position and speed signals from said error signal processing means; and wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal on the basis of the estimated values received from said error signal processing means.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said error signal processing means is designed to calculate estimated values x(k) and v(k) for the current actuator position and speed (Fig. 17A-C, paragraphs 104-106); wherein the actuator control signal generator means is coupled to receive said estimated current actuator position and speed signals from said error signal processing means (Fig. 17A-C, paragraphs 104-106); and wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal on the basis of the estimated values received from said error signal processing means

(Fig. 9A-B, paragraphs 110-111). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 2.

As to **claim 5**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains; wherein S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "gv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=0 defines a stable sliding surface; wherein sat(gres'x(k)+gv'v(k)/ Φ) defines a saturation function; and wherein ϵ is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means; and wherein x(k) and y(k) are signals representing values for the current actuator position and speed.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus wherein x(k) and v(k) are signals representing values for the current actuator position and speed (Fig. 17A-C, paragraphs 33-36).

It would have been obvious to have modified *Takagi* with the teaching of *Yokoyama*. In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 2.

Ramano is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains; wherein

S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "gv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=0 defines a stable sliding surface; wherein ε is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means.

However, *Ramano* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal according to the formula, wherein kkl and kk2 and k are coefficients determined by the actuator dynamic characteristics and the SMC controller gains (Fig. 5, column 8, lines 21-34); wherein S(k) = gres'x(k)+gv'v(k) = 0 describes a time-invariant surface in the state space, "gres" and "gv" being constants which are selected such that S(k)=0 defines a stable sliding surface (Fig. 3C, column 6, lines 40-53).

Takagi, Yokoyama, and Ramano are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

It would have been obvious to have modified *Takagi* as modified with the teaching of *Ramano*. In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 2.

Ramano is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus wherein ϵ is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control signal generator means.

However, Zhang discloses the disc drive apparatus wherein ϵ is a gain factor being the said variable control parameter of the SMC actuator control

Art Unit: 4134

signal generator means (Fig. 3, 318, column 4, lines 52-61). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 2.

As to **claim 6**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said error signal processing means is designed to calculate estimated values x(k) and v(k) for the current actuator position and speed; wherein the actuator control signal generator means is coupled to receive said estimated current actuator position and speed signals from said error signal processing means; and wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal on the basis of the estimated values received from said error signal processing means.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said error signal processing means is designed to calculate estimated values x(k) and v(k) for the current actuator position and speed (Fig. 17A-C, paragraphs 104-106); wherein the actuator control signal generator means is coupled to receive said estimated current actuator position and speed signals from said error signal processing means (Fig. 17A-C, paragraphs 104-106); and wherein said actuator control signal generator means is designed to calculate its output signal on the basis of the estimated values received from said error signal processing means (Fig. 9A-B, paragraphs 110-111). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 2.

As to **claim 7**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said error signal processing means comprises a state

Art Unit: 4134

estimator which is coupled to receive said actuator signal from said actuator control signal generator means; wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate a predicted position signal in accordance with the formula, wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate a predicted speed signal in accordance with the formula, wherein Ad (2x2) and Bd (2xl) are constant matrices and vectors for the discrete model of the actuator; and wherein x(k) and v(k) are estimated values for the current position and the current speed of the actuator, respectively; and wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate x(k) and v(k) in accordance with the formulas, wherein Lres and Lv are the estimator gains, preferably determined by the Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) method.

However, *Yokoyama* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said error signal processing means comprises a state estimator which is coupled to receive said actuator signal from said actuator control signal generator means (Fig. 16A-B, paragraphs 31-32); wherein Ad (2x2) and Bd (2xl) are constant matrices and vectors for the discrete model of the actuator (Fig. 3A, columns 5-6, lines 12-6).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create an optical disc apparatus that comprises a scanning means for scanning a record track on the optical disc and an actuator control signal generator means having at least one variable control parameter. The suggestion/motivation would have been for defect detection as taught by *Takagi* in view of *Yokayama* (Fig. 14A-B, paragraph 22).

Art Unit: 4134

Yokoyama is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate a predicted position signal in accordance with the formula; wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate a predicted speed signal in accordance with the formula; wherein x(k) and v(k) are estimated values for the current position and the current speed of the actuator, respectively; and wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate x(k) and v(k) in accordance with the formulas, wherein Lres and Lv are the estimator gains, preferably determined by the Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) method.

However, *Ramano* discloses the disc drive apparatus wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate a predicted position signal in accordance with the formula(Fig. 3A, columns 5-6, lines 13-6); wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate a predicted speed signal in accordance with the formula (Fig. 3A, columns 5-6, lines 13-6); wherein x(k) and v(k) are estimated values for the current position and the current speed of the actuator, respectively (Fig. 3E, columns 7-8, lines 31-13); and wherein said state estimator is designed to calculate x(k) and v(k) in accordance with the formulas, wherein Lres and Lv are the estimator gains, preferably determined by the Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) method (Fig. 3A, columns 5-6, lines 12-6). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 2.

As to **claim 8**, *Takagi* as modified discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said shock detector means are designed to generate said shock

indication signal on the basis of said predicted position signal (Fig. 3, column 4, lines 3-19).

4. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,046,967 to *Takagi et al.* in view of U.S. Patent Pub. 2001/0021148 A1 to *Yokoyama et al.* in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,847,895 to *Romano et al.* in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,465,981 B2 to *Zhang et al.* in further view of US 7,349,296 to *Akkermans et al.*

As to **claim 9**, see the discussion of Takagi et al, Yokoyama et al, Romano et al in claim 8 above. *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said shock detector means comprise: a low pass filter for receiving said predicted position signal; and a comparator for receiving an output signal from said low pass filter and for providing said shock indication signal.

However, *Akkermans* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said shock detector means comprise: a low pass filter for receiving said predicted position signal (Fig. 7, columns 6-7, lines 50-17); and a comparator for receiving an output signal from said low pass filter and for providing said shock indication signal (Fig. 7, columns 6-7, lines 50-17).

Takagi, Yokoyama, Romano, Zhang, and Akkermans are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor with respect to optical disk apparatuses.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to create a disc drive apparatus comprising: a scanning

Art Unit: 4134

means for scanning an optical disc and a comparator for receiving an output signal from a low pass filter. The suggestion/motivation would have been in order to compare the signal to a reference signal to determine if the output signal is an error signal as taught by *Takagi* in view of *Akkermans* (Fig. 7, columns 6-7, lines 50-17).

As to **claim 10**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said low pass filter has a cut-off frequency in the order of about 850 Hz.

However, *Akkermans* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said low pass filter has a cut-off frequency in the order of about 850 Hz (Column 1, lines 59-61). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 9.

As to **claim 11**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said comparator is designed to compare the output signal from said low pass filter with a predefined threshold value which, in the case of radial control, corresponds to approximately 25% of the track pitch.

However, *Akkermans* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said comparator is designed to compare the output signal from said low pass filter with a predefined threshold value which, in the case of radial control, corresponds to approximately 25% of the track pitch (Fig. 2 and 3, column 4, lines 37-63). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection in claim 9.

As to **claim 12**, *Takagi* as modified is deficient to disclosing the disc drive apparatus, wherein said comparator is designed to compare the output signal

Art Unit: 4134

from said low pass filter with a predefined threshold value which, in the case of radial control, corresponds to approximately 20% of the track pitch.

However, *Akkermans* discloses the disc drive apparatus, wherein said comparator is designed to compare the output signal from said low pass filter with a predefined threshold value which, in the case of radial control, corresponds to approximately 20% of the track pitch (Fig. 7, columns 6-7, lines 50-17). In addition, the same motivation is used as the rejection for claim 9.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANEETA PATANKAR whose telephone number is (571)272-9773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LunYi Lao can be reached on (571)272-7671. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aneeta V. Patankar Patent Examiner Art Unit 4134

/AVP/

/LUN-YI LAO/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4134